# Town of Orangetown Planning Board Planning Board Meeting: Wednesday, March 25, 2020

**Time:** 7:3

7:30 p.m.

**Location:** Town of Orangetown, Greenbush Auditorium, 20 South Greenbush

Road, Orangeburg, New York

Project Name: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan; Gontinued item from the September 25, 2019 meeting; PB#19-57

Location of Parcel: The site is located at 38 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River, Town of Orangetown, Rockland County, New York, and as shown on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 68.12, Block 1, Lot 44 in the RG zoning district.

#### Distribution:

# **Rockland County:**

- Planning Department
- Highway Department
- Park Commission
- Environmental Resources
- Drainage Agency
- Health Dept.
- Sewer District No.1

# Town of Orangetown:

- Drainage Consultant

p0/2020

- OBZPA 🗸

- DEME ~

- Highway

- DTA - Fire Prevention (2)

- TAB

- ZBA

#### Other:

- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
- U.S. Army Corp of Engineers
- Orange and Rockland Utilities
- Suez New York

<u>Project Description</u>: Prepreliminary/ Preliminary Subdivision Plan Review If your comments are not received by this date, the Board assumes your agency does not have any comments.

# Joseph G. Thompson Architect, PLLC

1006 Brown Street, Suite 212, Peekskill, New York 10566 PH: (845) 532-8156; EM: jgthompsonarchitect@gmail.com

To: Town of Orangetown Planning Board

**Town of Orangetown** 

26 West Orangeburg Road, Orangeburg, New York 10962

Cc: Stokes Custom Homes, LLC

Attn: Daniel Stokes, Owner

39 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River, New York 10965

Date: February 17, 2020

RE: PROJECT NARRATIVE- WEST LEWIS AVENUE PROPOSED SUB-DIVISION

39 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River, New York 10965

S-B-L: 68.12-1-44

The project planned for 39 West Lewis Avenue, as originally presented to the Planning Board in September of 2019, is a proposed two-lot sub-division of an existing property located in a RG Single-Family Residence Zone. Since our initial meeting with the Planning Board, and subsequent meeting with the Zoning Board of Appeals, changes have been made alleviate concerns over a previously required lot area variance. The revised site plan proposes to annex 5'-0" of property along the Eastern border from the neighboring 33 West Lewis Avenue lot. This increases the size of the lot to be in compliance with the 10,000 SF minimum zoning bulk area requirement which addresses what has been the primary concern of the surrounding community.

Please contact my office with any questions or should any additional information be required at this juncture. We look forward to the opportunity to further review this project again in its revised format with the Planning Board.

Respectfully Submitted,

Joseph G Thompson, RA, M.Arch, NCARB, LEED AP, CSBA, CDT NYS Registered Architect



#### Attachments:

1. Revised Drawing Sheet C1.01 REV 1 dated February 17, 2020 (1 Sheet).

PLANNING MEETIN MAR 2 5

Town Of O

Project Review Committee Report -September 18, 2019

Meeting of September 25, 2019

Page 2 of 2

Med pend already the PB #19-56 per aval

Orangetown Quick Stop Site Plan

Prepreliminary/ Preliminary/ Final and SEQRA Review Route 303 Overlay Zone

299 Route 303, Orangeburg; 74.11/2/48; CC zoning district

1. The PRC has no additional comments beyond the comments submitted by other agencies for this project.

# West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan

PB #19-57

Prepreliminary/ Preliminary/ Final Subdivision and SEQRA Review 39 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River; 68.12/1/44; RG zoning district

> 1. The PRC has no additional comments beyond the comments submitted by other agencies for this project.

## Western Highway Enterprises Site Plan - Consultation

PB #19-58

423-427 Western Highway, Tappan; 74.14/2/39; LO & LI zoning district

1. The PRC has no additional comments beyond the comments submitted by other agencies for this project.

## 30 Rockland Park Avenue Site Plan

PB #19-59

Final Site Plan Review

30 Rockland Park Road, Tappan; 77.16/1/33; LIO zoning district

1. The PRC has no additional comments beyond the comments submitted by other agencies for this project.

#### 125 East Central Avenue Site Plan

PB #19-60

Prepreliminary/ Preliminary/ Final Site Plan and SEQRA Review 125 East Central Avenue, Pearl River; 68.16/6/44; CS zoning district

1. The PRC has no additional comments beyond the comments Centilled, submitted by other agencies for this project.

Attendees: B. Peters, J. Dean, J. Slavin, M. Bettmann, R. Pakola Non-Members: M. Mandel, D. Sampath

# RALPH G. MASTROMONACO, P.E., P.C.

Civil / Site / Environmental

Consulting Engineers 13 Dove Court, Croton-on-Hudson, New York 10520 Tel: (914) 271-4762 Fax: (914) 271-2820

www.rgmpepc.com

Town of Orangetown Planning Board 20 Greenbush Road Orangeburg, NY 10962

September 25, 2019

Attn:

Thomas Warren, Chairman

Joseph Thompson, Architect

Re:

Stokes, West Lewis Avenue Subdivision - Town of Orangetown

Pearl River, NY

Section 68.12, Block1, Lot 44

#### Dear Chair and Members:

Please be advised that our office performed a preliminary review and site inspection of the proposed sub-division of 39 West Lewis Avenue on September 25, 2019.

The work involved a soil test hole and a percolation test. The purpose of the test was to determine if there were any impediments to either a drywell or rain garden solution to the runoff from the proposed new house.

We noted well drained soil on both lots. Accordingly, we are confident, within a reasonable degree of engineering certainty that below grade infiltration devices can be designed to adequately accommodate storm water for both properties in conformance with current codes and regulations.

Please call if you any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,



Ralph G. Mastromonaco, PE



# ANDREW M. CUOMO

#### **MARIE THERESE DOMINGUEZ**

Commissioner

LANCE MacMILLAN, P.E. Regional Director

November 5, 2019

Christian Catania Town of Orangetown Planning Board 20 Greenbush Road Orangeburg, NY 10962



Re:

NYSDOT SEQRA# 19-221 39 West Lewis Subdivision

39 West Lewis Avenue; Pearl River

Rockland County

Dear Mr. Catania:

The New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) is in receipt of a submittal for the subject project, received in our office on October 18, 2019.

As proposed, the project has minimal impact on the State Highway system and the NYSDOT has no additional comments at this time. The effort to involve the Department in the review process is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Mohammed S. Islam Assistant Engineer

cc: Steve DeMassio, P.E., Acting Resident Engineer, Residency 8-6

**Rockland County Planning Department** 



# OFFICE OF BUILDING, ZONING, PLANNING ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT

## TOWN OF ORANGETOWN

20 GREENBUSH ROAD ORANGEBURG, N.Y. 10962

Jane Slavin, RA Director (845) 359-8410

Fax: (845) 359-8526

SEP 2 3 2019

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN
LAND USE BOARDS

Date:

September 23, 2019

To:

Cheryl Coopersmith, Chief Clerk

Planning Board

From:

Jane Slavin, RA.,

Director O.B.Z.P.A.E

Subject:

West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan

PB #19-57

Prepreliminary/ Preliminary/ Final Subdivision and SEQRA Review

39 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River;

68.12/1/44; RG zoning district

## Submission Reviewed

New site plan C1.01 as prepared by Joseph G. Thompson Architect dated August 6, 2019.

- The following variances are required: Rear Yard 25 feet required with 19.1' and 20.0' proposed; Lot area for Lot 1, 10,000 square feet required and 8,646 square feet proposed.
- 2. Per Chapter 2, section 2-4 A, the Applicant is required to obtain ACABOR approval.
- 3. Upon submission of proposed house plan for a building permit, the Applicant will be required to obtain ACABOR approval.
- 4. A full subdivision plan is required to be submitted that includes the items in the subdivision checklist.

JS 9/23/19



# Department of Environmental Management and Engineering Town of Orangetown

127 Route 303 Orangeburg New York 10962 Tel: (845) 359-6502 • Fax: (845) 359-6951

September 20, 2019

Planning Board
Town of Orangetown
1 Greenbush Road
Orangeburg, New York 10962
Attn: Cheryl Coopersmith, Chief Clerk

Re: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan



#### Gentlemen:

This Department has the following comments/ recommendations.

- 1. The location of the sanitary house connections shall be shown for the two lots, including their connection (with invert elevations) to the sanitary mains.
- 2. Cleanouts (with invert elevations) shall be shown along the new sanitary house connection at all changes in direction and just inside property lines/ R.O.W. Also, a cleanout detail shall be added to the plans.
- 3. A sanitary sewer house sewer connection detail to the existing main shall be added to the plans.
- 4. All proposed grading shall be shown on the plan.
- 5. The plans shall show how the stormwater runoff from the new impervious areas will be handled.
- 6. The metes and bounds, as well as intended ownership, of all proposed easement(s) shall be shown on the plans.
- 7. Soil erosion and sediment control plans and details shall be submitted to this Department for review and approval.
- 8. Legends shall be added to the plans.
- 9. Monuments shall be drawn and labeled at all subdivision corners and along the R.O.Ws.
- 10. Iron pins shall be drawn and labeled at each property corner.
- 11. The existing edge of pavement shall be labeled along West Carroll Street and West Lewis Avenue.

Highway file Sewer file cc:



Suffern, NY

NY OFFICE

74 Lafayette Avenue Suite 501 Suffern, NY 10901 845.357.4411 Tel. 845.357.1896 Fax

**NJ OFFICE** 

22 Paris Avenue

201.750.3527 Tel.

Suite 105

Rockleigh, NJ 07647

September 25, 2019

Town of Orangetown Planning Board 20 Greenbush Road Orangeburg, New York 10962

Attn: Cheryl Coopersmith, Chief Clerk

Re: West Lewis Avenue Subdivision (tax lot 68.12-1-44)

Planning Board Drainage Review (for September 25, 2019 Planning Board meeting)

**BBE #OTN0132** 

Dear Ms. Coopersmith:

As the drainage consultant for the Town of Orangetown Planning Board, we have prepared the following report in support of the West Lewis Avenue Subdivision application to the Town of Orangetown Planning Board:

TOWN OF OKANGETOWN

#### Information Reviewed

1. "Topographical Survey of Lot 44 on Block 1 as shown on the Tax Map of the Town of Orangetown", Drawing not numbered, dated June 24, 2019, prepared by Steven L. Koestner, PE & PLS

2. "West Lewis Avenue Sub-Division", prepared by Joseph G. Thompson Architect, PLLC, signed and sealed by Joseph G. Thompson Architect, PE, dated August 6, 2019, Drawings CX1.01, C1.01

3. Project Application dated 8/01/2019

## **Project Description**

The project consists of two lot subdivision with frontage on West Carroll Street and Lewis Avenue. The existing dwelling on the south side will remain and a new garage addition is proposed. The proposed lot to the north proposes a new single family dwelling, garage, and driveway. The land slopes downhill in a westerly direction. No stormwater mitigation is proposed for the project.

#### **Project Comments**

- 1. Proposed grading should be shown for the changes in land use.
- 2. Stormwater mitigation methods should be provided.
- 3. Total land disturbance should be provided.
- 4. Existing and proposed impervious surfaces should be quantified.
- 5. A Sediment and Erosion Control Plan should be prepared.

#### **Drainage Review Recommendation**

No information has been provided with respect to mitigation for potential significant impacts with respect to drainage. We therefore recommend that the West Lewis Subdivision not be approved for drainage at this time.

Very truly yours,

**BROOKER ENGINEERING, P.L.L.C.** 

Kenneth DeGennaro, P.E.

LAND DEVELOPMENT • MUNICIPAL • STRUCTURAL • WATER RESOURCES • LAND SURVEYING

Brian Brooker, P.E.

Eve Mancuso, P.E., C.M.E.

Ken DeGennaro, P.E., C.F.M.

Stuart Strow, P.E., C.F.M.

Anthony Riggi, P.E.

Dennis Rocks, P.E., C.F.M

John Bezuyen, P.L.S.

Hillary Chadwick, P.E.

Vincent Kane, P.E.

Nestor Celiz, P.E.

Benjamin Levitz, P.E.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING**

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center 50 Sanatorium Road, Building T Pomona, New York 10970

Phone: (845) 364-3434 Fax: (845) 364-3435

nwotegnero to awot

**Douglas J. Schuetz** Acting Commissioner

August 21, 2019

Orangetown Planning Board 21 Greenbush Road Orangeburg, NY 10962

Tax Data: 68.12-1-44

Re: GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW REVIEW: Section 239 N

Map Date: 8/1/2019 Date Review Received: 8/7/2019

Item: WEST LEWIS AVENUE MINOR SUBDIVISION (O-2382)

Two-lot subdivision of 0.4458 acres in the RG zoning district. Variances for lot area and rear setback are required for Lot #1, while a variance for rear setback is also required for Lot #2.

North side of West Lewis Avenue, south side of West Carroll Street, approximately 121 feet east of Railroad Avenue

#### Reason for Referral:

NYS Route 304

The County of Rockland Department of Planning has reviewed the above item. Acting under the terms of the above GML powers and those vested by the County of Rockland Charter, I, the Commissioner of Planning, hereby:

#### \*Recommend the following modifications

- 1 A review must be completed by the New York State Department of Transportation, any comments or concerns addressed, and required permits obtained.
- 2 As required by the Rockland County Stream Control Act, the subdivision plan must be reviewed and signed by the Chairman of the Rockland County Drainage Agency before the County Clerk can accept the plan to be filed.
- 3 A review must be done by the Rockland County Department of Health to ensure compliance with Article XIX (Mosquito Control) of the Rockland County Sanitary Code.
- 4 Prior to the start of construction or grading, a soil and erosion control plan shall be developed and in place for the entire site that meets the latest edition of the New York State Standards for Urban Erosion and Sediment Control.
- 5 There shall be no net increase in the peak rate of discharge from the site at all design points.
- 6 The site plan shall include map notes that list all appropriate information, including the district details.

Page 1 of 2

Arlene R. Miller

Deputy Commissioner

# WEST LEWIS AVENUE MINOR SUBDIVISION (O-2382)

7 We request the opportunity to review the variances that are needed to implement the proposed subdivision, as required by New York State General Municipal Law, Section 239-m (3)(a)(v).

Douglas J. Schuetz

Acting Commissioner of Planning

cc: Supervisor Chris Day, Orangetown
Rockland County Department of Health
Rockland County Drainage Agency
New York State Department of Transportation

Joseph G. Thompson Architect, PLLC

\*NYS General Municipal Law Section 239 requires a vote of a 'majority plus one' of your agency to act contrary to the above findings. The review undertaken by the Rockland County Planning Department is pursuant to, and follows the mandates of Article 12-B of the New York General Municipal Law. Under Article 12-B the County of Rockland does not render opinions, nor does it make determinations, whether the item reviewed implicates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. The Rockland County Planning Department defers to the municipality forwarding the item reviewed to render such opinions and make such determinations if appropriate under the circumstances.

In this respect, municipalities are advised that under the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, the preemptive force of any provision of the Act may be avoided (1) by changing a policy or practice that may result in a substantial burden on religious exercise, (2) by retaining a policy or practice and exempting the substantially burdened religious exercise, (3) by providing exemptions from a policy or practice for applications that substantially burden religious exercise, or (4) by any other means that eliminates the substantial burden.

Proponents of projects are advised to apply for variances, special permits or exceptions, hardship approval or other relief.

Pursuant to New York State General Municipal Law §239-m(6), the referring body shall file a report of final action it has taken with the Rockland County Department of Planning within thirty (30) days after final action. A referring body which acts contrary to a recommendation of modification or disapproval of a proposed action shall set forth the reasons for the contrary action in such report.



#### **CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH**

Dr. Robert L. Yeager Health Center 50 Sanatorium Road, Building D Pomona, New York 10970 Phone: (845) 364-2608 Fax: (845) 364-2025



EDWIN J. DAY
County Executive:

PATRICIA S. RUPPERT, DO, MPH, CPE, DABFM, FAAFP

Commissioner of Health

SAMUEL RULLI, PE Director, Environmental Health

RECEIVED

SEP 2 3 2019

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN LAND USE BOARDS

September 19, 2019

Ms. Cheryl Coopersmith Town of Orangetown Planning Board 20 Greenbush Road Orangetown, NY 10962

Re:

West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision

Tax Lot 68.12-1-44

Trunty ExDearMs. Coopersmith:

We have received an application and plans for the above referenced project prepared by Koestner Associates revised through July 17, 2019. Comments are as follows:

1. Should the board require a stormwater management system, application is to be made to the RCDOH for review of the system for compliance with the County Mosquito Code.

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

Elizabeth Mello, P.E.

Senior Public Health Engineer

(845) 364-2616

cc:

en Endour Me

Koestner Associates

Arlene Miller, Rockland County Department of Planning



#### **ROCKLAND COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 1**

4 Route 340 Orangeburg, New York 10962 Phone: (845) 365-6111 Fax: (845) 365-6686 RCSD@co.rockland.ny.us

George Hoehmann Chairman Dianne T. Philipps, P.E. Executive Director

September 9, 2019

Ms. Cheryl Coopersmith
Town of Orangetown Planning Board
20 South Greenbush Road
Orangeburg, NY 10962

Re: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan 39 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River Tax Lot 89/68.12-1-44 (formerly 40-11-57.3) RECEIVED
SEP 1 9 2019

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN
LAND USE BOARDS

Dear Ms. Coopersmith:

Our office has received and reviewed a proposed new site plan that was last revised on August 6, 2019, which Joseph G. Thompson, RA prepared for the above referenced project. We thank you for the opportunity to comment on this application. Our comments are as follows:

- 1. Rockland County Sewer District No. 1 does not object to the Planning Board serving as lead agency for this review.
- 2. Rockland County Sewer District No. 1 does not object to the subdivision as shown. This project does not affect any sanitary sewers within the District. We request no future correspondence for this site.

Please inform us if any developments in this project change to affect the District. If you have any questions, please contact this office at 845-365-6111.

Very truly yours,

Joseph LaFiandra Engineer II

cc: D. Philipps

M. Saber

Helen Kenny-Burrows – Rockland County Department of Planning

File: TOO 68.12-1-44 – 39 West Lewis Avenue

Reader

Rocklandgov.com

#### NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Permits, Region 3 21 South Putt Corners Road, New Paltz, NY 12561-1620 P: (845) 256-3054 | F: (845) 255-4659

www.dec.ny.gov

Cheryl Coopersmith Town of Orangetown Planning Board 20 South Greenbush Road Orangeburg, NY 10962



Re: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision - 39 West Lewis Avenue **Lead Agency Circulation Response** Town of Orangetown, Rockland County CH# 8402

Dear Ms. Coopersmith:

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC or Department) has reviewed the submitted SEQR Notice of Intent to Serve as Lead Agency from the Town of Orangetown Planning Board for the above referenced project. Joseph G. Thompson Architect PLLC is proposing to subdivide an existing lot into two, construct a garage and addition to an existing residence, and construct a new single-family residence on 0.25 acre of a 0.45-acre site located at 39 West Lewis Avenue, Town of Orangetown, Rockland County.

The Department has no objection to the Town of Orangetown Planning Board assuming lead agency status for this project. Based upon our review of your inquiry received by the Department on August 14, 2019, we offer the following comments:

#### PROTECTION OF WATERS

There are no waterbodies that appear on our regulatory maps at the location you identified.

If a permit is not required, please note, however, you are still responsible for ensuring that work shall not pollute any stream or waterbody. Care shall be taken to stabilize any disturbed areas promptly after construction, and all necessary precautions shall be taken to prevent contamination of the stream or waterbody by silt, sediment, fuels, solvents, lubricants, or any other pollutant associated with the project.

#### FRESHWATER WETLANDS

Your site is not within a New York State protected Freshwater Wetland.



RE: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision – 39 West Lewis Avenue Lead Agency Circulation Response Town of Orangetown, Rockland County

Town of Orangetown, Rockland County

CH#: 8402

Date: September 5, 2019

Page 2 of 3

## STATE-LISTED SPECIES

DEC has reviewed the State's Natural Heritage records. No records of sensitive resources were identified.

The absence of data does not necessarily mean that rare or other state-listed species, natural communities or other significant habitats do not exist on or adjacent to the proposed site. Rather, our files currently do not contain information which indicates their presence. For most sites, comprehensive field surveys have not been conducted. We cannot provide a definitive statement on the presence or absence of all rare or state-listed species or significant natural communities. Depending on the nature of the project and the conditions at the project site, further information from on-site surveys or other sources may be required to fully assess impacts on biological resources.

# SPDES STORMWATER (CONSTRUCTION)

The submitted documents indicate that approximately 0.25 acres (of the 0.45 acre site) are to be disturbed in order to develop the parcel. Compliance with the current SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities (GP-0-15-002) is required for projects that disturb one or more acres of land. If the project is revised so that one or more acres of land are proposed to be disturbed, the applicant must prepare a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) in accordance with the current SPDES General Permit noted above.

As the Town of Orangetown is an MS4 area (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System), the Town has responsibility for review of the SWPPP. The MS4 Acceptance Form must be submitted to the Department. For information on stormwater and the general permits, see the DEC website at <a href="http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/8468.html">http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/8468.html</a>.

# <u>CULTURAL RESOURCES</u>

We have reviewed the statewide inventory of archaeological resources maintained by the New York State Museum and the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation. These records indicate that the project is not located within an area considered to be sensitive with regard to archaeological resources. For more information, please visit the New York State Office of Historic Preservation website at <a href="http://www.nysparks.com/shpo/">http://www.nysparks.com/shpo/</a>.

#### OTHER

Please note that this letter only addresses the requirements for the following permits from the Department: Protection of Waters, State-listed Species, and Freshwater Wetlands. Other permits from this Department or other agencies may be required for projects conducted on this property now or in the future. Also, regulations applicable to the location subject to this determination occasionally are revised and you should, therefore, verify the need for permits if your project is delayed or postponed. This determination regarding the need for permits will remain effective for a maximum of one year unless you are otherwise notified. Applications may be downloaded from our website at <a href="https://www.dec.ny.gov">www.dec.ny.gov</a> under "Regulatory" then "Permits and Licenses."

RE: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision – 39 West Lewis Avenue Lead Agency Circulation Response Town of Orangetown, Rockland County

CH#: 8402

Date: September 5, 2019 Page 3 of 3

By copy of this letter we are advising the project sponsor of the above referenced resources, concerns and potential DEC permits. It is possible that the DEC permit requirements may change based upon additional information received or as project modifications occur.

Please contact this office if you have questions regarding the above information. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Victoria Lawrence

**Division of Environmental Permits** 

Victorio Laurence

Region 3, Telephone No. (845) 633-5454

Cc: Joseph G. Thompson Architect PLLC

# Town of Orangetown Planning Board Meeting: September 25, 2019

Meeting Time - 7:30 p.m.

**Location:** Town of Orangetown, Greenbush Auditorium, 20 South Greenbush Road, Orangeburg, New York

Project Name: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan

Location of Parcel: The site is located at 38 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River, Town of Orangetown, Rockland County, New York, and as shown on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 68.12, Block 1, Lot 44 in the RG zoning district.

Response to request that the Town of Orangetown Planning Board BE DESIGNATED TO SERVE AS LEAD AGENCY FOR THE:

On be	half of Rem	ckland	County	Hwy	Debt	<u>·(in</u> \	rolved	
agenc	y), I acknow	wledge re	eceipt of th	e Léad	Agenc	y Notice	in this ma	tter.
	bove named							
,	CONSENT Agency for requests th determinati	coordinat	ted environi dersigned c	mental r ontinue	eview o to be n	f the proportified of	posed actic SEQR	
( )	DOES NOT serving as Agency. To	Lead Age contest t	ency for coo	rdinated ed Lead	l enviro Agency	nmental i	review of th dersigned	e Lead
	proposed a intends to f							
<i>,</i> ,	TAKES NO							NTCC.
( ) Datad		_	JN on Lead	Agency	uesign		ms maner	Dest
Dateu	08.19.	<u>'</u>		Agen	cy Nam	<u> </u>	by they	
							•	
•		•			<u> </u>			
				Signa D	iture Yan	Rajasi	nghan	
				Printe	d Name	e of Sign	er	

Please return within 30 days by

Fax: (845) 359-8526; E-mail: ccoopersmith@aol.com

# Town of Orangetown Planning Board Meeting: September 25, 2019

Meeting Time - 7:30 p.m.

Please return within 30 days by

Fax: (845) 359-8526; E-mail: ccoopersmith@aol.com

**Location:** Town of Orangetown, Greenbush Auditorium, 20 South Greenbush Road, Orangeburg, New York

Project Name: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan

Location of Parcel: The site is located at 38 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River, Town of Orangetown, Rockland County, New York, and as shown on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 68.12, Block 1, Lot 44 in the RG zoning district.

Response to request that the Town of Orangetown Planning Board

BE DESIGNATED TO SERVE AS LEAD AGENCY FOR THE: On behalf of Orangetown ZBA (involved agency), I acknowledge receipt of the Lead Agency Notice in this matter. The above named involved agency hereby (please check one): CONSENTS that the Town of Orangetown Planning Board serve as Lead Agency for coordinated environmental review of the proposed action, and requests that the undersigned continue to be notified of SEQR determinations, Proceedings and hearings in this matter. DOES NOT CONSENT to the Town of Orangetown Planning Board's serving as Lead Agency for coordinated environmental review of the Lead Agency. To contest the requested Lead Agency, the undersigned proposed action and wishes that intends to follow the procedures outlined in Title 6 Part 617.6(b) (5) NYCC. TAKES NO POSITION on Lead Agency designation in this matter Dated: **Sept** 4, 2019 \_Ontaletoun Agendy Name By: Signature XUMMar Printed Name of Signer

AUG 1 9 2019

TOWN OF ORANGETOWN LAND USE BOARDS

# Town of Orangetown Planning Board Meeting: September 25, 2019

Meeting Time - 7:30 p.m.

Location: Town of Orangetown, Greenbush Auditorium, 20 South Greenbush Road, Orangeburg, New York

Project Name: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan

Location of Parcel: The site is located at 38 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River, Town of Orangetown, Rockland County, New York, and as shown on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 68.12, Block 1, Lot 44 in the RG zoning district.

Response to request that the Town of Orangetown Planning Board BE DESIGNATED TO SERVE AS LEAD AGENCY FOR THE:

On be	half of Kcckland County Vept. OF Planning (involved
agend	cy), I acknowledge receipt of the Lead Agency Notice in this matter. Sove named involved agency hereby (please check one): CONSENTS that the Town of Orangetown Planning Board serve as Lead Agency for coordinated environmental review of the proposed action, and requests that the undersigned continue to be notified of SEQR determinations, Proceedings and hearings in this matter.
( )	DOES NOT CONSENT to the Town of Orangetown Planning Board's serving as Lead Agency for coordinated environmental review of the Lead Agency. To contest the requested Lead Agency, the undersigned proposed action and wishes that serve as intends to follow the procedures outlined in Title 6 Part 617.6(b) (5) NYCC.
( )	TAKES NO POSITION on Lead Agency designation in this matter
Dated:	Rockland County Dept of Planning Agency Name By: Music Marine Signature Printed Name of Signer
Please	return within 30 days by

Fax: (845) 359-8526; E-mail: ccoopersmith@aol.com

# Town of Orangetown Planning Board Meeting: September 25, 2019

Meeting Time - 7:30 p.m.

Location: Town of Orangetown, Greenbush Auditorium, 20 South Greenbush Road, Orangeburg, New York

Project Name: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan

Location of Parcel: The site is located at 38 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River, Town of Orangetown, Rockland County, New York, and as shown on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 68.12, Block 1, Lot 44 in the RG zoning district.

Response to request that the Towr BE DESIGNATED TO SERVE AS LE	
On behalf of Rockland Canty	
	the Lead Agency Notice in this matter.
The above named involved agency he	• "
· · ·	Orangetown Planning Board serve as Lead
<del></del>	nmental review of the proposed action, and continue to be notified of SEQR
•	
determinations, Proceedings a	nd nearings in this matter.
serving as Lead Agency for co	Town of Orangetown Planning Board's ordinated environmental review of the Lead
	sted Lead Agency, the undersigned
proposed action and wishes th	
	es outlined in Title 6 Part 617.6(b) (5) NYCC.
) TAKES NO POSITION on Lea Dated: <u>9//9//9</u>	d Agency designation in this matter  Rockland land Health Dept,
•	Agency Name
	By: Un
	Signature Liz Mello
	Printed Name of Signer
Please return within 30 days by	•

Fax: (845) 359-8526; E-mail: ccoopersmith@aol.com

# Planning Board Meeting of September 25, 2019 Town of Orangetown

Project Name: West Lewis Avenue Minor Subdivision Plan

Location of Parcel: The site is located at 38 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River, Town of Orangetown, Rockland County, New York, and as shown on the Orangetown Tax Map as Section 68.12, Block 1, Lot 44 in the RG zoning district.

Please review the information enclosed and provide comments. These comments may be mailed, e-mailed or faxed to the Planning Board Office.

If your agency does not have any comments at this time, please respond to this office by sending back this sheet.

•	Email to Planning Board at ccoop	Road, Orangeburg, New York 10962 ersmith@orangetown.com, or lanning Board @845 359-8526
( )	Comments Attached (or to be provid	ed prior to Meeting date noted above)
( )	No Comments at this time. Please se	end future correspondence for review.
(4	No future correspondence for this sit reviewed and this agency does not h	e should be sent to this agency. Plans ave any further comments.
( )	This project is out of the jurisdiction comments.	of this agency and has no further
Dated	Ag By	ency Name  Dyan Rajasingham  ease Print Name

# 617.20 Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

#### **Instructions for Completing**

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information				<del>-</del>	
Late 1 - Ligiete and Sholison Inioi marion					
Name of Action or Project:					
West Lewis Avenue Sub-Division		•			
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):					
39 West Lewis Avenue, Pearl River, New York 10965	-	_		-	
Brief Description of Proposed Action:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Sub-Division of existing lot into tow parcels. Existing residence fronting proposed to front West Carroll Street.	West L	ewis Avenue to rema	in. N	lew resi	idence
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telepi	none: (845) 532-8156	3		
Joseph G Thompson Architect, PLLC	E-Mai	1:			
Address: 1006 Brown Street, Suite 212					
City/PO:		State:	Zip 105	Code:	
Peekskill		New York	103		YES
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, l administrative rule, or regulation?  If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to	the env	ironmental resources on 2.		NO _	
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any	other go	overnmental Agency?		NO	YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:  Town of Orange Town Zoning Variance from Zoning Board & Building	ng Pern	nit from Building Dep	pt.		V
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?  b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?  c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?  0.49	5+/-	acres acres			<u>.</u>
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action  Urban Rural (non-agriculture) Industrial Comm  Forest Agriculture Aquatic Other  Parkland	rereial	☑Residential (subur ):	ban)		

5. Is the proposed action,	110	1750	BT/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?	NO	YES	N/A
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?			
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?		NO	YES
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Ar If Yes, identify:	ea?	NO	YES
		$\checkmark$	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?		<u></u>	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed act		$\bigvee$	
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?	ion?	<u>IV</u>	
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:	}	NO	YES
The state of the s			$\square$
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
	ľ	110	125
If No, describe method for providing potable water:			$\square$
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?	$=$ $\downarrow$	NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:	Ī		
- 10, costo medica for providing wastewater acadifent.			
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?		NO	YES
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?		$\Box$	
		✓	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	·	NO	YES
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	ŀ		H
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:	-	V	
			: '.
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check a	that a	only	
	nai	phry.	
☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed	$\neg \neg$	NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		<b>V</b>	
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES
17 Will d		1	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?  If Yes,	Ţ	NO	YES
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?			
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains	<sub>02</sub>		
If Yes, briefly describe:	"		: .
	—   ·		
	<b></b>	1	I

<u>, </u>				
18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?				
If Yes, explain purpose and size:				
_				
19.	Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or close	d	NO	YES
	solid waste management facility?			
πı	es, describe:	<del></del>	1	Ш
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or				YES
If Y	completed) for hazardous waste? /es, describe:		<b>7</b>	
				7.75
	FFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE T OWLEDGE	OTHEB	EST O	FMY
Apj	OWLEDGE  Joseph G Thompson Architect, PLLC  Date: Augus	t 2,	2019	
Sig	plicant/sponsor name:		·	
Par	t 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2. Answ	ver all of t	ne follo	wing
que	stions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the projection and other materials submitted by the projection and the materials submitted by the materials and the materials and the materials and the materials and the materials are also become and the materials and the materials are also become and	ect sponso	F OF	
otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have				
resp	onses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"	me conce	i Hav	e my
resp	conses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"	me conce	i Hav	e my
resp	conses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"	No, or	Mod	derate
rest	conses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"	No, or small	Mod to 1	lerate large
rest	conses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
resp	conses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"	No, or small impact	Mod to im	derate large pact
resr	conses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
resp	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
resp	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
1. 2.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
1. 2.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
1. 2.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
1. 2. 3. 4.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?  Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?  Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?  Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?  Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?  Will the proposed action impact existing:	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?  Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?  Will the proposed action impact existing:  a. public / private water supplies?	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?  Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?  Will the proposed action impact existing:  a. public / private water supplies?  b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?  Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological,	No, or small impact may	Mod to im	derate large pact

	11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?				
10.	Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or problems?	drainage			
			оссиг		
	自己的 机双头 医乳腺 的复数 医外外管 模型 身间 经基金	may	may		
	化油油 医人名比斯特特克尔 化氯甲基甲酚甲基克基甲		impac		
		No, or small	Modera to larg		

Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentatio that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.  Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentatio that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.				
Name of Lead Agency	Date			
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer			
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)			

BOARI

Date: August 6, 2019 Rev: 2.17.2020 🛕

NOTES:

1. THIS SITE PLAN HAS BEEN PREPARED REFERENCING A PROPERTY SURVEY PREPARED BY STEVEN L. KOESTNER, PLS NYS LICENSE #50865 FOR DANNY STOKES DATED APRIL 1, 2019.

2. LOT I NEW RESIDENCE FOOTPRINT SUBJECT TO CHANGE BASED ON FINAL DESIGN. CHANGES MUST CONFORM WITH ALL ZONING BULK REQUIREMENTS.

3. NEW PLAN PROPOSES TO ANNEX 5'-0" OF PROPERTY FROM NEIGHBORING LOT ON EAST LOT LINE.

DRIVE	
WEST CARROLL STREET	
N 85 12 00 1 VI	
EXPAND EXIST DRIVE  ACRE LOT #1 (10,000 SF+/)	
NEW 1 STORY ATTACHED GARAGE WITH BREEZEWAY 2 STORY SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE (1.632 SF.). TOTAL FOOL HENT). (2.724 TOTAL REAL).	
1.52 mm (2.51)	
N 85*12'00" W  ZH = 1.	13030°
EXIST 2.5:STORY SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE 1,000.5F./- FOOTPRINTY GARAGE ADDITION WITH BREAD WAY 11 (1.036 SF TOTAL AREA) 31.7'± 6.Y	
EXSTING ((24 9F4))  NEW 0.234+/- ACRE LOT #2 (10,196 SF4-/-)  DELTA DELT	APPROX LOCATION OF NEW WATER METER VAULT TO BE DETERMINED BY UTILITY  OO
Proposed New Site Plan  C1.01 Scale: 1" = 10'-0"  WEST LEWIS AVENUE  DRIVE  DRIVE	

7011110	ZONE:		RG	
ZONING INFORMATION	Use:	Single	e-Family Residence	
	Permitted:		By Right	
MINIMUM REQUIREMENT	rs			
	Required	Existing	Proposed LOT 1	Proposed LOT 2
Lot Area	10,000 SF	19,421 SF+/-	10,000 SF+/-	10,196 SF+/-
Lot Width	75 FT	125.3Ø FT±	13030 FT±	13030 FT±
Street Frontage	50 FT	125.3Ø FT±	NO CHANGE	NO CHANGE
YARD SETBACKS- PRIM	ARY RESIDENCE/ GAR	RAGE		
	Required	Existing	Proposed	Proposed
Front	25 FT	26.6 FT+/-	25 <i>00</i> FT	NO CHANGE
Rear	25 FT	79.1 FT+/-	25.80 FT	*II.4 FT+/-
Side	10 FT	21.9 FT+/-	24.Ø FT	NO CHANGE
Total Side	3Ø FT	83.2 FT+/-	542 FT	59.6 FT+/-
MAXIMUM PERMITTED				
	Permitted	Existing	Proposed	Proposed
	(1	0.138	(	0300 (3,058 SF (3,058 SF ALLOW
Floor Area Ratio	03 (6,058 SF) (TOTAL)	(2,674 SF±)	√   · 1	

EXISTING FAR CALCULATION					
	Area	FAR			
Existing Residence- First Floor	1,260 SF±	0.065			
Existing Residence- Second Floor	934 SF±	ØØ48			
Existing Garage	480 SF±	Ø.Ø25			
TOTAL	2,674 SF±	Ø.138			

PROPOSED LOT 1 FAR CALCULATION		
	Area	FAR
New Residence- First Floor	1,Ø92 SF±	101.0
New Residence- Second Floor	1,Ø92 SF±	( 0.101
New Garage	48Ø SF±	0.048
New Breezeway	60 SF±	0,006
TOTAL	2,724 SF±	0256
		·

PROPOSED LOT 2	FAR CALCULAT	ION
	Area	FAR
Existing Residence- First Floor	{ 1,090 SF± }	{
Existing Residence- Second Floor	934 SF±	0.087
New Addition- First Floor	\$ 517 SF±	0.049
New Addition- Second Floor	\$ 517 SF±	0.049
TOTAL	3,Ø58 6F±	0286